EXHIBIT 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

ROSY GIRON DE REYES;
JOSE DAGOBERTO REYES;
FELIX ALEXIS BOLANOS;
RUTH RIVAS; YOVANA JALDIN SOLIS;
ESTABAN RUBIN MOYA YRAPURA;
ROSE ELENA AMAYA, and
HERBERT SARAVIA CRUZ,

Plaintiffs,

----X

CASE NO: 1:16ev00563-TCB 1

Vs.

WAPLES MOBILE HOME PARK
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; WAPLES PROJECT
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; and
A.J. DWOSKIN & ASSOCIATES, INC.,

Defendants,

DEPOSITION OF MARK ANDREW JONES

Falls Church, Virginia

November 22, 2016

9:30 a.m.

Reported By: Chris Fox Job No: 47656

ORIGINAL

david feldman

WORLDWIDE · COURT REPORTING

the urrite experience

www.david-feldman.com 800-642-1099

- Q. Okay. And I want you to look at the bottom of that page for me, the third bullet from the bottom; it's just a single line of text. It says, Criminal history and a criminal background check will be conducted for each applicant.
 - A. Yes.

1.3

- Q. Is it accurate to say that as of

 February 2013 a criminal background check could be

 conducted for each applicant whether or not they

 provided a Social Security number?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And also on Page 7 let me turn to -- it's the very top bullet and then the second sub-bullet under there. It says: All applicants must be legally eligible to live in the U.S. Applications that do not meet this standard will be rejected regardless of the credit score and criminal history outcome.

Was that the policy of A.J. Dwoskin & Associates, Inc. as it applied to Waples Mobile Home Park in 2013?

A. We required everybody to have an active visa

or a valid visa at the time internally due, that they are documented or legally in the country.

- Q. Would it be fair to say that this was a categorical ban on individuals who were not legally present in the United States as of 2013?
 - A. Can you repeat that.

- Q. Sure. So we're looking at that second sub-bullet where it says, All applicants must be legally eligible to live in the United States. And my question was whether this policy as it applied to Waples in 2013 constituted sort of a categorical ban on individuals who were illegally in the United States.
- A. It was one of our criteria to verify that there was a valid visa in place for the individual or the applicant.
- Q. So every single applicant who did not possess a valid visa or valid immigration documentation would be rejected, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And if you'll flip over with me to the next page. The header reads Application

MR. DINGMAN: It's not a speaking objection.

MS. ODOM: -- he can say he doesn't recall.

And I've asked the question and I'd like an answer and we can look at a document in a minute.

MR. DINGMAN: If you can answer without looking at the documents and not guess, then you can answer the question.

A. I -- I would have to read the document to answer since I never used the software.

BY MS. ODOM:

Q. Outside the CoreLogic content and speaking generally about criminal background searches, do you have a view on whether a Social Security number is required to run those checks?

MR. DINGMAN: Object to the form of the question.

A. Currently, criminal screenings can be ran without a Social Security number. The problem as with most screening services is, is the more data you put into the system, usually the better data comes back.

So in terms of -- the credit side operates better with

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

a Social Security number or a ITIN number, a number better than no number at all.

And the criminal, I don't know of any states yet that use the Social Security number as a defining field for crimes, but typically you get that by name and date of birth; but if you have hits on the criminal, you usually can see how accurate the information comes across on the credit to where you have the two together to see -- to make a decision or to be able to make sure that false positives or other issues pop up, whether it's Social Security number flags, or usually it's common name issues on the criminal side.

- So it's your opinion that A.J. Dwoskin & Associates, Inc. believes that it could obtain more accurate and complete background checks by using a Social Security number in 2011 when CoreLogic was the service that was providing those checks?
- Back then in 2011, I'm not sure how the Α. CoreLogic system worked and what it required and what it didn't require. I mean, many systems you could put

- Q. You want me to go off the record for a moment?
 - A. No, no.

- Q. You indicated that to start the process, to apply to live at Waples, an individual would have to show legal status?
- A. Correct. They would have to provide us the identity documents, and along with the legal status or the valid visa.

And I would add to this list, I would say that was part of the identity fraud, in verifying the identity.

- Q. You believe that verifying an individual's legal status assisted in verifying whether or not there was identity fraud?
- A. I think those documents from a visa standpoint, with a valid visa from the U.S. government, does help verify the identity of the federal ID. Whether it's a foreign passport or a state issued ID of identity from a state that's not a driver's license, but appears like a driver's license.

Q.	,	Why	did	an	applica	ant	need	to	show	16	gal
status	in	the	Unit	ed	States	in	order	t to	star	ît	an
applica	atio	ומ מכ	roces	ss,	to live	e at	g Wap]	les?)		

- A. As part of our underwriting of the lease, we find that to be a key piece of information from an income perspective for valid employment and writing the lease. But also, we find it, for an actual visa to be present, because of the vetting done on the -- during the visa process, from a criminal perspective, because our criminal screening only shows results based on records found in the United States, on the name and date of birth.
- Q. Well, is it not the case that criminal background search done by Yardi is always limited to criminal records in the United States, whether or not somebody is legally present in the U.S.?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So a person could be legally present, and have a criminal violation in another country, and Yardi wouldn't return that?
 - A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

136

Q.	Di	id A.	J Dv	laov	kin &	Ass	soci	ates	be	elieve	it	was
required	by	some	law	or	statı	ıte	to	verif	У	legal	sta	tus
before tl	hey	accer	pted	an	appli	icat	ion	for	a	lease?	>	

A. Well, we do believe that we have some exposure to the harboring of illegal immigrants because we have knowledge they they're undocumented by taking the application.

But otherwise, we do find that it is vital to the underwriting of the lease, because of the hurdles that an undocumented immigrant suffers in trying to obtain a job or a title to the mobile home itself, with the state of Virginia, so.

- Q. Or keep a lease?
- A. Hau?
- Q. Or keep a lease?
- A. Or keep a lease with us, yes.
- Q. Okay. You said from an income perspective, it's important to verify legal residency in the United States.

Can you give me some explanation of what that means?

137
A. We think that an undocumented immigrant is
limited in terms of their employment in the United
States. And we feel if they're undocumented, there's a
potential financial burden for them to become
documented to taking on that lease. And I think, you
know, to trace or find someone that is undocumented and
not in the system, also makes is difficult for us to go
through the court system with collection and judgments,
but.
Q. So to be clear, the policy of A. J. Dwoskin &
Associates, Inc., was to categorically reject an
application from individuals who are not legally
present, whether or not they could show a solid income,
is that right?
A. Can you repeat the question?
Q. Sure. Bad question.
The policy of A. J. Dwoskin & Associates,
Inc., was to reject all applications from undocumented
immigrants, whether or not they could show a reliable

sufficient income?

It is one of our first steps in prescreening,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

138

of making sure they have documents to prove their income, documents to prove their legal presence in the country, and a federal ID.

Q. Sure.

These are some reasons that it seems A. J. Dwoskin & Associates, Inc., believes are important, that federal law requires the screening for whether someone is documented or not, you mentioned you believe there's some exposure to a harboring law. What is that based on?

- I just -- from some reading of, having knowledge of housing or harboring in the illegal immigrant, I think again, I thing, what on -- the, you know. In terms of being required by law, I don't know of any, other than the fair housing requirements or laws, for us to apply underwriting or our policies and criteria to all. That's all the law that I know of.
- So there's nothing specific that you believe would subject A. J. Dwoskin & Associates, Inc., to criminal liability or civil liability, for leasing to undocumented immigrants?

21

	139
1,	MR. DINGMAN: Objection to the form of the
2	question. Misstates his testimony.
3	BY MS. ODOM:
4	Q. You can answer.
5	A. Not to my knowledge.
6	Q. Okay. Are there any other reasons beyond the
7	ones we have talked about already, why A. J. Dwoskin &
8	Associates, Inc., wanted to verify an applicant's legal
9	status?
10	Not to give you a memory test, but we can
11	just run through them real quickly, if you like.
12	You said it's to confirm the identity of the
13	applicant and occupants, right? And that's in
14	Interrogatory Response Number 1, correct?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. It's to be able to run a credit check, right?
17	A. Well, by the policy helps us know that a
18	criminal check was done by the government when they
19	issued the valid visa; so, it's part of the criminal
20	check, but it is, as we have talked about before, just

the legal presence by itself does not -- is not needed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

140

to run a credit a criminal screeni		criminal screeni	a		credit	a	run	to
------------------------------------	--	------------------	---	--	--------	---	-----	----

I think for us it would be a starting point.

If they didn't have it, they would not have a criminal screening run so we're --

- Q. To make sure identity fraud is caught, right?
- A. Yes. It's one of the documents to check.
- Q. Sure. It is to be able to run the criminal background check, to make someone eligible to have the check run, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Minimize loss from eviction?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Underwriting concerns for the lease, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Because undocumented immigrants have limited employment opportunities?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And there may be some fear of liability due to harboring, is that right?
 - A. Yes.

1-	_	L .	_			
-	\sim	_ 1	г.	\cap	m	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

- DOLLOW.
 - A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. In the last section at the bottom it starts are ITINs valid for identification. What is the response there?
- A. No. ITINs are not valid identification outside the tax system.
- Q. Is that why there was a requirement for government- issued documents verifying legal status in addition to an ITIN?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. During the course of the deposition, you sometimes refer to those documents as visa documents. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When you use that phrase, visa documents, are you referring to any documents issued by the United States government that substantiates legal presence in the United States?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I'd like you to look at exhibit 16, which are

Case 1:16-cv-00563-PTG-WBP

230

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

NOTARY PUBLIC

I, CHRISTINE FOX, Certified Court Reporter, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.

CHRISTINE FOX

Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Virginia

My commission expires:

March 31, 2018